

THE DICKEY-TYTUS SCANDAL.

SUIT FOR \$50,000 DAMAGES BROUGHT AGAINST PUBLISHERS—A NEW TRIAL ASKED IN THE BREACH OF PROMISE CASE.

Special Dispatch to the New-York Times.

CINCINNATI, March 29.—Miss Nannie Dickey to-day brought suit against Spencer & Craig, publishers, of this city, and two men named Reed and Harkrader, who are engaged in the publication of a sensational history of the late Tytus-Dickey trial, praying for an injunction against the issue of said book, and asking damages in the sum of \$50,000. She charges that Reed & Harkrader, since the trial of the breach of promise case, have been engaged in writing such a work, filling it with indecent pictures, illustrative of her conduct with John B. Tytus prior to and during the trial, and with pretended photographs and engravings of her child and other parties not interested in the case. She charges that the purpose of such publication is to damage and degrade herself and child, and to improperly influence the trial of bastardy suit now pending. A temporary order was granted restraining the circulation of the book until an examination, which is set for Tuesday next. Steps are being taken by Mr. Tytus' attorney to secure a new trial of the breach of promise case in which Miss Dickey was given \$6,000 damages. The libel suits against Mr. Halstead, of the *Commercial*, growing out of the same affair, will be tried before a jury in Cincinnati soon. The complications arising from this remarkable case are almost endless.

The New York Times

Published: March 30, 1878

Copyright © The New York Times